arXiv:math/9805056v1 [math.AG] 12 May 1998

# ON THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF THE COMPLEMENT OF A COMPLEX HYPERPLANE ARRANGEMENT

GRIGORI RYBNIKOV

Abstract. We construct two combinatorially equivalent line arrangements in the complex projective plane such that the fundamental groups of their complements are not isomorphic. In the proof we use a new invariant of the fundamental group of the complement of a line arrangement with prescribed combinatorial type with respect to isomorphisms inducing the canonical isomorphism of first homology groups.

## 1. Introduction

Many topological properties of a complex hyperplane arrangement can be expressed in terms of its combinatorial structure (matroid). For example, it is true for the cohomology ring of the complement of the arrangement [5] and for the Malcev completion of the fundamental group of the complement [2]. However, for fundamental group of this complement all known algorithms of computation use non-matroidal data. (In the case of complexified real arrangement the answer can be expressed in terms of oriented matroid, which requires more information then just dimensions of all intersections of hyperplanes as for ordinary matroid.)

Due to the classical theorem of Zariski, the fundamental group of the complement of a hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the complement in a generic 2-dimensional plane of it's intersection with the hypersurface. Hence in our case it is sufficient to study fundamental groups of complements of line arrangements in $\mathbb{C}P^2$. In this paper we use a description of combinatorial structure of line arrangements in terms of projective configurations, which is essentially equivalent to using matroid language, but has an advantage of being more visual.

The aim of this paper is to construct two combinatorially equivalent line arrangements in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ whose complements have different fundamental groups. Our approach to this is the following.

We consider the canonical map $\pi_1(X) \to H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ where $X$ is the complement of a line arrangement $L$. The first homology group $H = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a free Abelian group which is canonically determined by the configuration $C$ describing combinatorial structure of $L$. We construct for any $C$ an invariant of the group $\pi_1(X)$ with respect to isomorphisms $\pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(X')$ inducing trivial automorphism of $H$, where $X$ and $X'$ are complements of line arrangements combinatorially described by $C$. This invariant depends only on $\pi_1(X)/\gamma_4\pi_1(X)$, where $\gamma_k G$ denotes the $k$-th member of the lower central series of a group $G$.

---

Supported in part by DIMACS.
Supported in part by the AMS grant for mathematicians in the former Soviet Union.

Next we consider two conjugate realizations of MacLane configuration $C_8$ corresponding to MacLane matroid [3] (see also [8]) and show that our invariant distinguishes them. Then, at last, we use these computations to prove that configuration $C_{13}$, obtained by gluing together two MacLane configurations, has at least two realizations with different fundamental groups.

I am deeply grateful for helpful discussions to T. Alekseyevskaya, A. Dress, A. Goncharov, S. Lvovsky, N. Mnev, G. Noskov, S. Orevkov, D. Stone, G. Ziegler, and especially to I. M. Gelfand, without whom this paper would never have been written.

## 2. Construction of distinguishing invariant

**Definition 1.** *A projective configuration is a triple $C = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}, \succ)$, consisting of two sets: $\mathcal{L}$, called the set of lines, and $\mathcal{P}$, called the set of points, and the binary relation $\succ$, called incidence, between $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{P}$, provided the following axioms hold:*

*(1) For any two distinct lines $l$ and $l'$ there is a unique point $p$ such that $l \succ p$ and $l' \succ p$;*

*(2) Any point is incident to at least two lines.*

For lines $l$ and $l'$ and a point $p$ as in axiom (1) we write $p = l \cap l'$ and call $p$ the intersection point of the lines $l$ and $l'$. We call a configuration $C = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}, \succ)$ non-degenerate if $|\mathcal{P}| > 1$, hence not all the lines pass through one point.[1]

**Definition 2.** *A complex realization of a projective configuration $C = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}, \succ)$ is an injective map $\phi$ from $\mathcal{L}$ to the set of lines in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and from $\mathcal{P}$ to the set of points in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ such that $\phi p \in \phi l$ if and only if $p \prec l$.*

Let $C = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}, \succ)$ be a finite non-degenerate projective configuration. We order the elements of $\mathcal{L}$: $\mathcal{L} = \{l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_n\}$, and call $l_0$ line at infinity. Let $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{p \in \mathcal{P} \mid p \not\prec l_0\}$. Now we shall model in abstract terms the presentation of the fundamental group of the complement of a line arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^2$, as stated in [1] (see also [6]).

Let $F$ be a free group with free generators $w_1, \ldots, w_n$, and let $\mathcal{A} = \{(i,p) \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \times \mathcal{P}_0 \mid p \prec l_i\}$. For any $(i,p) \in \mathcal{A}$ we choose $w_i(p) \in F$ which is conjugate in $F$ to $w_i$: $w_i(p) = (g(i,p))^{-1} w_i g(i,p)$ for some $g(i,p) \in F$. Thus the elements $w_i(p)$ are determined by some mapping $g : \mathcal{A} \to F$.

Let $p \in \mathcal{P}_0$ and $\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} = \{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \mid l_i \succ p\}$ with $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$. We set $c_\alpha(p) = w_{i_\alpha}(p) \cdot w_{i_{\alpha-1}}(p) \cdot \cdots \cdot w_{i_1}(p) \cdot w_{i_k}(p) \cdot \cdots \cdot w_{i_{\alpha+1}}(p)$ for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, k$, and $r(i_\alpha, p) = c_{\alpha-1}(p)^{-1} \cdot c_\alpha(p)$ for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, k$, where $c_0(p) = c_k(p)$. It is easy to see that $r(i_\alpha, p) = [w_{i_\alpha}, c_\alpha(p)]$ and $\prod_{\alpha=1}^{k} r(i_\alpha, p) = 1$, hence only $k-1$ of them are independent. We denote by $[w_{i_k}(p), w_{i_{k-1}}(p), \ldots, w_{i_1}(p)]$ the set $\{r(i_2, p), \ldots, r(i_k, p)\}$. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(g) = \{r(i,p) \mid l_i \succ p \text{ and } i \neq \min\{i \mid l_i \succ p\}\}$ be the union of these sets. We set $G = G(\mathcal{R}) = F/\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle$, where $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is the minimal normal subgroup of $F$ containing $\mathcal{R}$.

Let $\phi$ be a complex realization of the configuration $C$, and let $X = \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \bigcup_{l \in \mathcal{L}} \phi l$. Then $\pi_1(X) \cong G(\mathcal{R}(g))$ for some particular choice of $g$. The image of the generator $w_i$ corresponds under this isomorphism to a small loop around $\phi l_i$ passed in the positive direction (the lines in $\mathbb{C}^2$ have canonical coorientation).

---

[1] The notion of a non-degenerate configuration is essentially equivalent to the notion of a simple rank 3 matroid on the set of lines.

Let us consider the lower central series $(\gamma_k F)$ of the group $F$ ($\gamma_1 F = F$, $\gamma_{k+1}F = [F, \gamma_k F]$). It is well known that the groups $\mathrm{gr}_k F = \gamma_k F/\gamma_{k+1}F$ are free Abelian groups of finite rank. Moreover, $\mathrm{gr}F = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{gr}_k F$ is naturally isomorphic (as a graded Abelian group) to the free Lie algebra $L$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ with $n$ generators $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ($L = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} L_k$ is graded by degree of monomials) [4]. Under this isomorphism the Lie algebra commutator in $L$ corresponds to group commutator in $F$. Further on we will identify $\mathrm{gr}_k F$ with $L_k$.

The group $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is generated by $r(i,p)$ (which are commutators) and the elements of the form $[w_{i_1}^{\pm 1}, [w_{i_2}^{\pm 1}, \dots, [w_{i_k}^{\pm 1}, r(i,P)] \dots]]$. Therefore $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset \gamma_2 F$. It is easy to see that the images of the elements of $\mathcal{R}$ in $L_2$ are $\bar{r}(i,p) = [x_i, \sum_{j:p \prec l_j} x_j]$, hence they depend only on $C$.

We will need in the further considerations a broader class of groups $G$. Let now $\mathcal{R} = \{r(i,p) \mid (i,p) \in \mathcal{A} \;\&\; i \neq \min\{i \mid l_i \succ p\}\}$ be a set of arbitrary elements of $\gamma_2 F$ with the only condition that the images of $r(i,p)$ in $L_2$ must be the same $\bar{r}(i,p)$ as above. We call such set $\mathcal{R}$ admissible for $C$. The group $G = G(\mathcal{R})$ is defined as before.

As $\bar{r}(i,p)$ are linearly independent in $L_2$, it follows that not only $\mathrm{gr}_2\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle = R_2$ depend only on $C$, but it is also true for $\mathrm{gr}_3\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle = R_3 = [H, R_2]$, where $H = L_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. Hence $\mathrm{gr}_1 G \cong H$, $\mathrm{gr}_2 G \cong P_2 = L_2/R_2$, and $\mathrm{gr}_3 G \cong P_3 = L_3/R_3$ are canonically determined by $C$. This means that in each of these groups we have a canonical basis.

In the case when $G = \pi_1(X)$ for some complex realization of $C$, we have $H = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and the canonical basis in it is dual to the canonical basis of $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ described in [5].

The group $G/\gamma_3 G$ is an extension of the group $H$ (which is free Abelian) with a trivial $H$-module $P_2$. Such extensions are parameterized by the group $H^2(H, P_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^2 H, P_2)$. But as $\Lambda^2 H \cong L_2$, we have a natural projection $\chi_2 : \Lambda^2 H \to P_2$. It is easy to see that $\chi_2$ is exactly the element of $H^2(H, P_2)$, corresponding to the group extension $1 \to P_2 \to G/\gamma_3 G \to H \to 1$. Hence $G/\gamma_3 G$ is canonically determined by the configuration up to an automorphism trivial on $H$ and $P_2$. The group of such automorphisms is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}(H, P_2)$.

The group $M = \gamma_2 G/\gamma_4 G$ is Abelian, but it has a non-trivial structure of $H$-module. The arguments similar to those used for $G/\gamma_3 G$ show that this module is also canonically determined by $C$ up to the action of $\mathrm{Hom}(P_2, P_3)$.

Let us now consider the group extension $1 \to M \to G/\gamma_4 G \to H \to 1$. It corresponds to some $\chi_3 \in H^2(H, M)$. From the short exact sequence of $H$-modules $0 \to P_3 \to M \to P_2 \to 0$ we get a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^1(H, P_2) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(H, P_3) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^2(H, M) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^2(H, P_2) \to \dots .$$

Let us rewrite it in the following form:

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{Hom}(H, P_2) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^2 H, P_3) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^2(H, M) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^2 H, P_2) \to \dots .$$

We have $\beta(\chi_3) = \chi_2$, thus $\chi_3$ lies in $\beta^{-1}(\chi_2)$ which is a principal homogeneous space over an Abelian group $\mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^2 H, P_3)/\delta \mathrm{Hom}(H, P_2)$.

Recall that $M$ is determined by $C$ only up to the action of an Abelian group $\mathrm{Hom}(P_2, P_3)$, hence only the orbit $\bar{\chi}_3$ of $\chi_3$ with respect to this action has an invariant sense. The set of orbits $Y = \beta^{-1}(\chi_2)/\mathrm{Hom}(P_2, P_3)$ is a principle homogeneous space over the group $T = \mathrm{Hom}(R_2, P_3)/\bar{\delta}\mathrm{Hom}(H, P_2)$, where $\bar{\delta}$ is the composition of $\delta$ with the natural projection $\mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^2 H, P_3) \to \mathrm{Hom}(R_2, P_3)$. We see that $Y$,

$T$, and the action of $T$ on $Y$ are determined by $C$ canonically, thus any choice of admissible $\mathcal{R}$ provides us with a well-defined element $\bar{\chi}_3(\mathcal{R}) \in Y$.

Now let us have two admissible sets $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$. We consider corresponding groups $G = G(\mathcal{R})$ and $G' = G(\mathcal{R}')$. Let $\kappa(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}') = \bar{\chi}_3(\mathcal{R}) - \bar{\chi}_3(\mathcal{R}') \in T$. From this definition it is clear that $\kappa(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'') = \kappa(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}') + \kappa(\mathcal{R}', \mathcal{R}'')$ for any admissible $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{R}'$, and $\mathcal{R}''$.

The groups $G/\gamma_2 G$ and $G'/\gamma_2 G'$ are both canonically isomorphic to $H$. Thus we have a canonical isomorphism $\lambda_1 : G/\gamma_2 G \to G'/\gamma_2 G'$. As we noted above, an isomorphism $\lambda_2 : G/\gamma_3 G \to G'/\gamma_3 G'$ extending $\lambda_1$ always exists, although it is not determined canonically.

**Theorem 2.1.** *An isomorphism $\lambda_3 : G/\gamma_4 G \to G'/\gamma_4 G'$ extending $\lambda_1$ exists if and only if $\kappa(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}') = 0$ in $T$.*

**Proof:** As we have shown, the Lie algebras $\mathrm{gr}(G/\gamma_4 G)$ and $\mathrm{gr}(G'/\gamma_4 G')$ are canonically isomorphic and generated by $G/\gamma_2 G$ and $G'/\gamma_2 G'$ correspondingly. Therefore, if $\lambda_3$ exists, then $\mathrm{gr}\lambda_3$ is uniquely determined and coincides with this canonical isomorphism. Hence $\kappa(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}') = 0$.

In the opposite direction, if $\kappa(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}') = 0$, then the extensions $1 \to M \to G/\gamma_4 G \to H \to 1$ and $1 \to M \to G'/\gamma_4 G' \to H \to 1$ differ by an automorphism of $M$, thus after applying this automorphism they become equivalent. Hence there exists $\lambda_3$ extending $\lambda_1$. □

In order to use the invariant provided by this theorem, we must describe $P_2$ and $P_3$. What can be said in the general case is the following.

Let us identify $L_2$ with $\Lambda^2 H$. We denote by $(x_i^*)$ the basis of $H^*$ dual to the basis $(x_i)$ of $H$. Then $L_2^* = \Lambda^2 H^*$ and $R_2^\perp \subset \Lambda^2 H^*$ is generated by the following elements: $\omega_{ijk} = (x_i^* - x_j^*) \wedge (x_j^* - x_k^*) = x_i^* \wedge x_j^* + x_j^* \wedge x_k^* + x_k^* \wedge x_i^*$ for $l_i \succ l_j \cap l_k \in \mathcal{P}_0$ and $\omega_{ij} = x_i^* \wedge x_j^*$ for $l_0 \succ l_i \cap l_j$. It is easy to show that $(R_2^\perp)^\perp = R_2$, hence $P_2$ is a free Abelian group and $P_2^* \cong R_2^\perp$. Therefore we will consider the forms $\omega_{ijk}$ and $\omega_{ij}$ defined above as the elements of $P_2^*$.

We have an exact sequence of Abelian groups:

$$0 \to \Lambda^3 H \xrightarrow{d} H \otimes L_2 \xrightarrow{c} L_3 \to 0$$

where $d(x \wedge y \wedge z) = x \otimes [y,z] + y \otimes [z,x] + z \otimes [x,y]$ and $c(x \otimes f) = [x,f]$. As we know, $R_3 = [H, R_2]$, thus $R_3 = c(H \otimes R_2)$. From the dual exact sequence

$$0 \leftarrow \Lambda^3 H^* \xleftarrow{d^*} H^* \otimes \Lambda^2 H^* \xleftarrow{c^*} L_3^* \leftarrow 0$$

we get that $R_3^\perp = \mathrm{Ker}\, d^*|_{H^* \otimes R_2^\perp}$. Therefore $R_3^\perp$ contains the elements of the form $S_{ijk} = (x_i^* - x_j^*) \otimes \omega_{ijk}$ for $l_i \succ l_j \cap l_k \in \mathcal{P}_0$ and $S_{ij} = x_i^* \otimes \omega_{ij}$ for $l_0 \succ l_i \cap l_j$. I don't claim that these elements generate $R_3^\perp$, as we shall see in the next section it is not so, but I don't know any general description of the rest of $R_3^\perp$.

Let us obtain now a more explicit method of calculating $\kappa(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}')$ in the case when $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(g)$ and $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}(g')$ for some mappings $g, g' : \mathcal{A} \to F$. The following propositions follow easily from definitions.

**Proposition 2.2.** *If $g(i,p) \equiv g'(i,p) \pmod{\gamma_2 F}$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $p \prec l_i$, then $\kappa(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}') = 0$. In other words $\bar{\chi}_3(\mathcal{R}(g))$ depends only on $\bar{g} : \mathcal{A} \to H$.*

Let us consider an Abelian group $A = H^{\mathcal{A}}$. For $a \in A$ we define $\tilde{\tau}a \in \mathrm{Hom}(R_2, P_3)$ by setting

$$\tilde{\tau}a(\bar{r}(i,p)) = [[x_i, a(i,p)], \sum_{j:p\prec l_j} x_j] + [x_i, \sum_{j:p\prec l_j} [x_j, a(j,p)]] + R_3.$$

Let $\tau a = \tilde{\tau}a + \bar{\delta}\mathrm{Hom}(H, P_2)$.

**Proposition 2.3.** *If $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(g)$ and $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}(g')$, then $\kappa(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}') = \tau(\bar{g} - \bar{g'})$.*

It would be interesting to find $\mathrm{Ker}\,\tau$. Here are some partial results in this direction.

Let us define the following elements of $A$: $a^{(0)}_{i,p}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n$, $p \in \mathcal{P}_0$, $p \prec l_i$; $a^{(1)}_{i,p}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n$, $p \in \mathcal{P}_0$; $a^{(2)}_{i,p_1,p_2}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n$, $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P}_0$, $p_1, p_2 \prec l_i$ ($p_1$ and $p_2$ not necessary distinct).

$$a^{(0)}_{i,p}(j,q) = \delta_{i,j}\delta_{p,q}x_i;$$

$$a^{(1)}_{i,p}(j,q) = \delta_{p,q}x_i;$$

$$a^{(2)}_{i,p_1,p_2}(j,q) = \delta_{i,j}\delta_{p_1,q}\sum_{k:p_2\succ l_k} x_k.$$

Let $U$ be a subgroup of $A$ generated by them.

**Proposition 2.4.** *$U \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\,\tilde{\tau}$.*

Let $B$ be a subgroup of $A$ consisting of functions which don't depend on $p$.

**Proposition 2.5.** *$B \subseteq \tilde{\tau}^{-1}(\bar{\delta}\mathrm{Hom}(H, P_2))$.*

Let $W = A/(U + B)$. The homomorphism $\tau$ is a composition of the projection $\pi : A \to W$ and an homomorphism $\bar{\tau} : W \to T$. I don't know whether $\bar{\tau}$ is always injective, but it is so for an example which we will consider in the next section.

## 3. Computations for MacLane configuration

The MacLane matroid $ML_8$ can be defined as an affine plane over $\mathbb{F}_3$ with one element deleted. The correspondent configuration $C_8$ has 8 lines $l_1, \ldots, l_7$ and 12 points $p_{012}$, $p_{034}$, $p_{056}$, $p_{07}$, $p_{135}$, $p_{147}$, $p_{16}$, $p_{23}$, $p_{246}$, $p_{257}$, $p_{367}$, $p_{45}$ with $p_{ijk} \prec l_i, l_j, l_k$ and $p_{ij} \prec l_i, l_j$. Hence, $\mathcal{P}_0$ consists of the last 8 of these points.

It is easy to show that any complex realization of $C_8$ is projectively equivalent to a realization of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\phi l_0 & = & \{(z_0 : z_1 : z_2) \in \mathbb{C}P^2 \mid z_0 = 0\}, \\
\phi l_1 & = & \{(z_0 : z_1 : z_2) \in \mathbb{C}P^2 \mid z_1 = 0\}, \\
\phi l_2 & = & \{(z_0 : z_1 : z_2) \in \mathbb{C}P^2 \mid z_1 = z_0\}, \\
\phi l_3 & = & \{(z_0 : z_1 : z_2) \in \mathbb{C}P^2 \mid z_2 = 0\}, \\
\phi l_4 & = & \{(z_0 : z_1 : z_2) \in \mathbb{C}P^2 \mid z_2 = z_0\}, \\
\phi l_5 & = & \{(z_0 : z_1 : z_2) \in \mathbb{C}P^2 \mid z_2 + \omega z_1 = 0\}, \\
\phi l_6 & = & \{(z_0 : z_1 : z_2) \in \mathbb{C}P^2 \mid z_2 + \omega z_1 = (\omega + 1)z_0\}, \\
\phi l_7 & = & \{(z_0 : z_1 : z_2) \in \mathbb{C}P^2 \mid (\omega + 1)z_1 + z_2 = z_0\},
\end{array}$$

where $\omega$ is a root of a polynomial $x^2 + x + 1$. The points $\phi p$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}$ are defined as intersections of corresponding lines.

Let us denote the realization of $C_8$, corresponding to $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/3)$ (to $\omega = \exp(-2\pi i/3)$), by $\phi^+$ (resp. by $\phi^-$). Let $G^+ = \pi_1(X^+)/\gamma_4\pi_1(X^+)$ and $G^- = \pi_1(X^-)/\gamma_4\pi_1(X^-)$

**Theorem 3.1.** *There exists no isomorphism $G^+ \to G^-$ such that the induced isomorphism $H_1(X^+,\mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(X^-,\mathbb{Z})$ maps the elements of the canonical basis of $H_1(X^+,\mathbb{Z})$ to the corresponding elements of the canonical basis of $H_1(X^-,\mathbb{Z})$.*

**Proof:** Let $X^\pm = \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \bigcup \phi^\pm l$. The implementation of Arvola's algorithm gives us the following sets of defining relators for $\pi_1(X^\pm)$ (both in a free group $F$ with generators $w_1,\dots,w_7$): for $\pi_1(X^+)$

$$\begin{gathered}
[w_5, w_3, w_1],\\
[w_7, w_4, w_1],\\
[w_6, w_1],\\
[w_3, w_2],\\
[w_6, w_4, w_2],\\
[w_7, w_5, w_5^{-1}w_2w_5],\\
[w_7, w_6, w_6^{-1}w_3w_6],\\
[w_5, w_3w_6w_4w_6^{-1}w_3^{-1}];
\end{gathered}$$

and for $\pi_1(X^-)$

$$\begin{gathered}
[w_5, w_3, w_1],\\
[w_7, w_4, w_1],\\
[w_6, w_1],\\
[w_3, w_5^{-1}w_2w_5],\\
[w_7^{-1}w_6w_7, w_4, w_2],\\
[w_7, w_5, w_5^{-1}w_2w_5],\\
[w_7, w_6, w_6^{-1}w_3w_6],\\
[w_5, w_7w_4w_7^{-1}].
\end{gathered}$$

These sets of relators correspond to the mappings $g^\pm : \mathcal{A} \to F$, whose values are 1 except for the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
g^+(3, p_{367}) &= w_6,\\
g^+(4, p_{45}) &= w_6^{-1}w_3^{-1},\\
g^+(2, p_{257}) &= w_5,\\
g^-(3, p_{367}) &= w_6,\\
g^-(4, p_{45}) &= w_7^{-1},\\
g^-(2, p_{23}) &= w_5,\\
g^-(2, p_{257}) &= w_5,\\
g^-(6, p_{246}) &= w_7.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $a_0 = \bar{g}^+ - \bar{g}^- : \mathcal{A} \to H$. This mapping has only the following non-zero values:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_0(4, p_{45}) &= x_7 - x_6 - x_3,\\
a_0(2, p_{23}) &= -x_5,\\
a_0(6, p_{246}) &= -x_7.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.3 it is enough to show that $\tau a_0 \neq 0$ in $T$.

**Lemma 3.2.** *The image of $a_0$ in $W$ is non-zero.*

**Proof:** First we compute $\tilde{W} = A/U$. As any of the generators $a^{(\alpha)}_{i,p}$ of $U$ is zero on $(j,q)$ with $q \neq p$, we may consider decompositions $A = \bigoplus_{p\in\mathcal{P}_0} A_p$ $U = \bigoplus_{p\in\mathcal{P}_0} U_p$ and $\tilde{W} = \bigoplus_{p\in\mathcal{P}_0} \tilde{W}_p$, where $A_p$, $U_p$, and $\tilde{W}_p$ are defined in the obvious way. For each $p$ the computation is quite simple. All of $\tilde{W}_p$ appear to be free Abelian groups, thus $\tilde{W}$ is also free Abelian, and hence it's dual $\tilde{W}^*$ is naturally isomorphic to $U^\perp \subset A^*$.

Let $e_{ij}(p) \in A^*$ be defined by $(e_{ij}(p), a) = (x^*_j, a(i,p))$ for $a \in A$, where $(x^*_i)$ is the basis of $H^*$ dual to the basis $(x_i)$ of $H$. The basis of $U^\perp$ consists of the following elements:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(p_{135}) &= e_{13}(p_{135}) - e_{15}(p_{135}) + e_{35}(p_{135}) \\
&\quad - e_{31}(p_{135}) + e_{51}(p_{135}) - e_{53}(p_{135}), \\
I(p_{147}) &= e_{14}(p_{147}) - e_{17}(p_{147}) + e_{47}(p_{147}) \\
&\quad - e_{41}(p_{147}) + e_{71}(p_{147}) - e_{74}(p_{147}), \\
I(p_{367}) &= e_{36}(p_{367}) - e_{37}(p_{367}) + e_{67}(p_{367}) \\
&\quad - e_{63}(p_{367}) + e_{73}(p_{367}) - e_{76}(p_{367}), \\
I(p_{257}) &= e_{25}(p_{257}) - e_{27}(p_{257}) + e_{57}(p_{257}) \\
&\quad - e_{52}(p_{257}) + e_{72}(p_{257}) - e_{75}(p_{257}), \\
I(p_{246}) &= e_{24}(p_{246}) - e_{26}(p_{246}) + e_{46}(p_{246}) \\
&\quad - e_{42}(p_{246}) + e_{62}(p_{246}) - e_{64}(p_{246}), \\
J(p_{16}) &= e_{12}(p_{16}) - e_{62}(p_{16}) + e_{64}(p_{16}) \\
&\quad - e_{14}(p_{16}) + e_{17}(p_{16}) - e_{67}(p_{16}) \\
&\quad + e_{63}(p_{16}) - e_{13}(p_{16}) + e_{15}(p_{16}) - e_{65}(p_{16}), \\
J(p_{45}) &= e_{43}(p_{45}) - e_{53}(p_{45}) + e_{51}(p_{45}) \\
&\quad - e_{41}(p_{45}) + e_{47}(p_{45}) - e_{57}(p_{45}) \\
&\quad + e_{52}(p_{45}) - e_{42}(p_{45}) + e_{46}(p_{45}) - e_{56}(p_{45}), \\
J(p_{23}) &= e_{21}(p_{23}) - e_{31}(p_{23}) + e_{35}(p_{23}) \\
&\quad - e_{25}(p_{23}) + e_{27}(p_{23}) - e_{37}(p_{23}) \\
&\quad + e_{36}(p_{23}) - e_{26}(p_{23}) + e_{24}(p_{23}) - e_{34}(p_{23}), \\
K_1(p_{135}) &= e_{12}(p_{135}) + e_{36}(p_{135}) - e_{37}(p_{135}) \\
&\quad + e_{57}(p_{135}) - e_{52}(p_{135}) - e_{56}(p_{135}), \\
K_2(p_{135}) &= e_{14}(p_{135}) - e_{17}(p_{135}) + e_{37}(p_{135}) \\
&\quad - e_{34}(p_{135}) - e_{36}(p_{135}) + e_{56}(p_{135}), \\
K_1(p_{147}) &= e_{12}(p_{147}) + e_{13}(p_{147}) - e_{15}(p_{147}) \\
&\quad - e_{43}(p_{147}) + e_{75}(p_{147}) - e_{72}(p_{147}), \\
K_2(p_{147}) &= e_{12}(p_{147}) + e_{46}(p_{147}) - e_{42}(p_{147}) \\
&\quad - e_{43}(p_{147}) + e_{73}(p_{147}) - e_{76}(p_{147}), \\
K_1(p_{367}) &= e_{31}(p_{367}) - e_{34}(p_{367}) - e_{35}(p_{367}) \\
&\quad + e_{65}(p_{367}) + e_{74}(p_{367}) - e_{71}(p_{367}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2(p_{367}) &= e_{34}(p_{367}) + e_{62}(p_{367}) - e_{64}(p_{367}) \\
&\quad - e_{65}(p_{367}) + e_{75}(p_{367}) - e_{72}(p_{367}), \\
K_1(p_{257}) &= e_{21}(p_{257}) + e_{53}(p_{257}) - e_{51}(p_{257}) \\
&\quad - e_{56}(p_{257}) + e_{76}(p_{257}) - e_{73}(p_{257}), \\
K_2(p_{257}) &= e_{24}(p_{257}) - e_{21}(p_{257}) - e_{26}(p_{257}) \\
&\quad + e_{56}(p_{257}) + e_{71}(p_{257}) - e_{74}(p_{257}), \\
K_1(p_{246}) &= e_{21}(p_{246}) + e_{47}(p_{246}) - e_{41}(p_{246}) \\
&\quad - e_{43}(p_{246}) + e_{63}(p_{246}) - e_{67}(p_{246}), \\
K_2(p_{246}) &= e_{25}(p_{246}) - e_{27}(p_{246}) + e_{43}(p_{246}) \\
&\quad + e_{67}(p_{246}) - e_{63}(p_{246}) - e_{65}(p_{246}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the following linear functional $t : \tilde{W} \to \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$

$$t = 2I(p_{367}) + J(p_{45}) + K_1(p_{135}) - K_2(p_{147}) + K_1(p_{257}) - K_1(p_{246}) + 3\mathbb{Z}.$$

It is not hard to check that $t|_B = 0$ and $t(a_0) = 1$. Hence the image of $a_0$ in $W = \tilde{W}/B$ is non-zero. $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** $U = \operatorname{Ker} \tilde{\tau}$ *for the configuration* $C_8$.

**Proof:** In order to prove this, we must first study $P_3$. It turns out that the basis of $R_3^{\perp}$ consists of $S_{ij} = x_i^* \otimes x_i^* \wedge x_j^*$ for $l_0 \succ l_i \cap l_j$, $S_{ijk} = (x_i^* - x_j^*) \otimes (x_i^* - x_j^*) \wedge (x_j^* - x_k^*)$ for $l_i \succ l_j \cap l_k \in \mathcal{P}_0$ with $i < j$, $i < k$ and the following additional elements:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_0 &= x_7^* \otimes (x_1^* - x_3^*) \wedge (x_3^* - x_5^*) + (x_2^* - x_3^*) \otimes (x_1^* - x_4^*) \wedge (x_4^* - x_7^*) \\
&\quad + (x_4^* - x_5^*) \otimes (x_3^* - x_6^*) \wedge (x_6^* - x_7^*) \\
&\quad + (x_1^* - x_6^*) \otimes (x_2^* - x_5^*) \wedge (x_5^* - x_7^*) \\
&\quad - x_7^* \otimes (x_2^* - x_4^*) \wedge (x_4^* - x_6^*) + (x_4^* - x_5^*) \otimes x_1^* \wedge x_2^* \\
&\quad - (x_1^* - x_6^*) \otimes x_3^* \wedge x_4^* + (x_2^* - x_3^*) \otimes x_5^* \wedge x_6^*, \\
T_1 &= x_7^* \otimes (x_1^* - x_3^*) \wedge (x_3^* - x_5^*) - x_3^* \otimes (x_1^* - x_4^*) \wedge (x_4^* - x_7^*) \\
&\quad - x_5^* \otimes (x_3^* - x_6^*) \wedge (x_6^* - x_7^*) + x_1^* \otimes (x_2^* - x_5^*) \wedge (x_5^* - x_7^*) \\
&\quad - (x_5^* - x_7^*) \otimes x_1^* \wedge x_2^* - (x_1^* - x_7^*) \otimes x_3^* \wedge x_4^* \\
&\quad - (x_3^* - x_7^*) \otimes x_5^* \wedge x_6^*, \\
T_2 &= x_2^* \otimes (x_1^* - x_3^*) \wedge (x_3^* - x_5^*) + (x_2^* - x_5^*) \otimes (x_3^* - x_6^*) \wedge (x_6^* - x_7^*) \\
&\quad + (x_3^* - x_6^*) \otimes (x_2^* - x_5^*) \wedge (x_5^* - x_7^*) - x_3^* \otimes (x_2^* - x_4^*) \wedge (x_4^* - x_6^*) \\
&\quad + (x_3^* - x_5^*) \otimes x_1^* \wedge x_2^* - (x_2^* - x_6^*) \otimes x_3^* \wedge x_4^* \\
&\quad + (x_2^* - x_3^*) \otimes x_5^* \wedge x_6^*, \\
T_3 &= x_6^* \otimes (x_1^* - x_3^*) \wedge (x_3^* - x_5^*) - (x_3^* - x_6^*) \otimes (x_1^* - x_4^*) \wedge (x_4^* - x_7^*) \\
&\quad - (x_1^* - x_4^*) \otimes (x_3^* - x_6^*) \wedge (x_6^* - x_7^*) - x_1^* \otimes (x_2^* - x_4^*) \wedge (x_4^* - x_6^*) \\
&\quad + (x_4^* - x_6^*) \otimes x_1^* \wedge x_2^* - (x_1^* - x_6^*) \otimes x_3^* \wedge x_4^* \\
&\quad + (x_1^* - x_3^*) \otimes x_5^* \wedge x_6^*, \\
T_4 &= x_4^* \otimes (x_1^* - x_3^*) \wedge (x_3^* - x_5^*) + (x_2^* - x_5^*) \otimes (x_1^* - x_4^*) \wedge (x_4^* - x_7^*) \\
&\quad + (x_1^* - x_4^*) \otimes (x_2^* - x_5^*) \wedge (x_5^* - x_7^*) - x_5^* \otimes (x_2^* - x_4^*) \wedge (x_4^* - x_6^*) \\
&\quad + (x_4^* - x_5^*) \otimes x_1^* \wedge x_2^* - (x_1^* - x_5^*) \otimes x_3^* \wedge x_4^* \\
&\quad + (x_2^* - x_4^*) \otimes x_5^* \wedge x_6^*.
\end{aligned}$$

What is more, $(R_3^{\perp})^{\perp} = R_3$, hence $P_3$ is a free Abelian group and $P_3^* \cong R_3^{\perp}$. Therefore it is enough to show that $U^{\perp} = \operatorname{Im}\tilde{\tau}^*$.

We identify $(\operatorname{Hom}(R_2, P_3))^*$ with $R_2 \otimes P_3^*$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
I(p_{135}) &= \tilde{\tau}^*(r(1,p_{135}) \otimes S_{135}),\\
I(p_{147}) &= \tilde{\tau}^*(r(1,p_{147}) \otimes S_{147}),\\
J(p_{16}) &= \tilde{\tau}^*(r(1,p_{16}) \otimes T_3),\\
K_1(p_{135}) &= \tilde{\tau}^*(r(1,p_{135}) \otimes (T_0 - T_3)),\\
K_2(p_{135}) &= \tilde{\tau}^*(r(1,p_{135}) \otimes T_3),\\
K_1(p_{147}) &= -\tilde{\tau}^*(r(1,p_{147}) \otimes T_0),\\
K_2(p_{147}) &= -\tilde{\tau}^*(r(1,p_{147}) \otimes T_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(U^{\perp})_p \subset \operatorname{Im}\tilde{\tau}^*$ for $p = p_{135}, p_{147}, p_{16}$. Now we can use the fact that the group, generated by permutations $(16)(25)(34)$ and $(135)(246)$, naturally acts on $C_8$ by automorphisms to prove that it is true for any $p \in \mathcal{P}_0$. Hence $U^{\perp} \subset \operatorname{Im}\tilde{\tau}^*$. Combining it with Proposition 2.4 we get the statement of the Lemma. □

**Lemma 3.4.** *$B = \tilde{\tau}^{-1}(\bar{\delta}\operatorname{Hom}(H, P_2))$ for the configuration $C_8$.*

**Proof:** We will first show that if $\bar{\delta}f \in \tilde{\tau}A$ for some $f \in \operatorname{Hom}(H, P_2)$, then
1) $(\omega_{ij}, f(x_k)) = 0$ for any $i, j, k$ such that $l_i \cap l_j \prec l_0$, $k \neq i, j$ and
2) $(\omega_{ijk}, f(x_m)) = 0$ for any $i, j, k, m$ such that $l_i \succ l_j \cap l_k \in \mathcal{P}_0$, $m \neq i, j, k$.

Suppose that 1) doesn't hold, then, as no four pairwise distinct lines of $C_8$ meet in one point, we have $p = l_i \cap l_k \in \mathcal{P}_0$ and $(S_{ij}, \bar{\delta}f(r(k,p))) = (\omega_{ij}, f(x_k)) \neq 0$. But $(S_{ij}, \tilde{\tau}a(r(k,p))) = 0$ for any $a \in A$, hence 1) must hold.

Suppose that 2) doesn't hold, then we have either $p = l_i \cap l_m \in \mathcal{P}_0$ or $p' = l_j \cap l_m \in \mathcal{P}_0$. Due to the symmetry of $(ijk)$ we may suppose that $p = l_i \cap l_k \in \mathcal{P}_0$. Then $(S_{ijk}, \bar{\delta}f(r(m,p))) = (\omega_{ijk}, f(x_m)) \neq 0$. But $(S_{ijk}, \tilde{\tau}a(r(m,p))) = 0$ for any $a \in A$, hence 2) must also hold.

It follows that we can choose $\tilde{f} \in \operatorname{Hom}(H, \Lambda^2 H)$ with $f(x) = \tilde{f}(x) + R_2$ in such a way that $\tilde{x_k} = x_k \wedge b(k)$ for some $b : \{1, \ldots, 7\} \to H$. Hence $\bar{\delta}f = \tilde{\tau}a$, where $a \in B$, $a(k,p) = b(k)$ for any $k$ and $p$. □

From the last two lemmas it follows that $\bar{\tau} : W \to T$ is an inclusion, hence $\tau a_0 \neq 0$. This concludes the proof of the Theorem. □

Note that the groups $\pi_1(X^+)$ and $\pi_1(X^-)$ are, in fact, isomorphic, for there exists a homeomorphism $X^+ \to X^-$ induced by complex conjugation. But as this homeomorphism reverses coorientation of lines, the corresponding isomorphism of the first homology groups maps canonical basis of one of them to minus canonical basis of the other.

## 4. Combinatorially equivalent arrangements with different fundamental groups

We define the configuration $C_{13}$ by gluing together two MacLane configurations in the following way. Let $C_8 = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}, \succ)$ and $C_8' = (\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{P}', \succ)$ be two copies of MacLane configuration ($\mathcal{L} = \{l_0, \ldots, l_7\}$, $\mathcal{P} = \{p_{012}, \ldots, p_{45}\}$, $\mathcal{L}' = \{l_0', \ldots, l_7'\}$, $\mathcal{P}' = \{p_{012}', \ldots, p_{45}'\}$). Let us introduce an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{L}'$ by saying that $l_0 \sim l_0'$, $l_1 \sim l_1'$, and $l_2 \sim l_2'$, and an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{P}'$ by saying that $p_{012} \sim p_{012}'$. Let $\mathcal{P}'' = \{p_{ij}'' \mid i, j \in \{3, \ldots, 7\}\}$. We set $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = (\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{L}')/{\sim}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = ((\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{P}')/{\sim}) \cup \mathcal{P}''$ and the incidence relation between $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and $(\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{P}')/{\sim}$

induced by that of $C_8$, $C'_8$, and between $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{P}''$ defined by $p''_{ij} \prec l_i, l'_j$. Let $C_{13} = (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \succ)$. We denote by $\bar{l}_i$ the equivalence class of $l_i$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$, and by $\bar{p}_{012}$ the equivalence class of $p_{012}$.

Let us construct realizations $\phi^{++}$ and $\phi^{+-}$ of $C_{13}$ by gluing together realizations $\phi^+$ and $\phi^-$ of $C_8$. Let $\psi$ be a generic projective transformation of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with the condition that $\psi\phi^+ l_i = \phi^+ l_i (= \phi^- l_i)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. We set $\phi^{+\pm}\bar{l}_i = \phi^+ l_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$, $\phi^{+\pm} l_i = \phi^+ l_i$ and $\phi^{+\pm} l'_i = \psi\phi^{\pm} l_i$ for $i = 3, \dots, 7$. For a generic $\psi$ the intersection points of the complex lines $\phi^{+\pm} l$ for all $l \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$, hence we get realizations of $C_{13}$.

Further on we will write $C_{13} = (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{P}, \succ)$ instead of $C_{13} = (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \succ)$, $l_i$ instead of $\bar{l}_i$, and $l_{i+5}$ instead of $l'_i$, in order to make the notations compatible to that of section 2.

Let $X^{+\pm} = \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \bigcup \phi^{+\pm} l$.

**Theorem 4.1.** *The groups $\pi_1(X^{++})$ and $\pi_1(X^{+-})$ are not isomorphic.*

**Proof:** Let $G^{++} = \pi_1(X^{++})$ and $G^{+-} = \pi_1(X^{+-})$. It is sufficient to show that the groups $G^{++}/\gamma_4 G^{++}$ and $G^{+-}/\gamma_4 G^{+-}$ are not isomorphic. We will start with the study of the group $\Gamma$ of automorphisms of $C_{13}$.

It is easy to see that any automorphism $\sigma \in \Gamma$ preserves the set $\{l_0, l_1, l_2\}$ and either preserves each of the sets $\{l_3, \dots, l_7\}$ and $\{l_8, \dots, l_{12}\}$, or interchanges them. Moreover, the action of $\sigma$ on $\{l_0, l_1, l_2\}$ may be arbitrary, but after it is determined there are only two possibilities, with $\sigma l_3 \in \{l_3, \dots, l_7\}$ for one of them and $\sigma l_3 \in \{l_8, \dots, l_{12}\}$ for the other. Hence $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{S}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $\mathrm{S}_3$ is a symmetric group on the set $\{0, 1, 2\}$.

Let $x_0 = -\sum_{i=1}^{12} x_i$. There is a natural action of the group $\Gamma$ on $H$ induced by permutations of the elements $x_i$, thus we may consider $\Gamma$ as a subgroup of $GL(H)$. Let $\tilde{\Gamma} = \gamma \times \{\pm \mathrm{id}_H\}$.

Let $G_2 = G^{++}/\gamma_3 G^{++}$. It is isomorphic to $G^{+-}/\gamma_3 G^{+-}$, though not canonically. Any automorphism of $G_2$ preserves $[G_2, G_2] = P_2$, hence it acts naturally on $H = G_2/P_2$. Let us denote the corresponding homomorphism $Aut(G_2) \to GL(H)$ by $\pi$.

**Lemma 4.2.** *The image of $\pi$ equals $\tilde{\Gamma}$.*

**Proof:** This is really a sketch of the proof, which involves simple, but long computations.

The map $\Lambda^2 H \to P_2$ induced by group commutator in $G_2$ is equivariant with respect to the action of $Aut(G_2)$. Hence $R_2 = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\Lambda^2 H \to P_2)$ and $R_2^{\perp} \subset \Lambda^2 H^*$ are preserved by the natural action of this group. It is not hard to check that for $C_{13}$ any decomposable element of $R_2^{\perp}$ is proportional to some $\omega_{ijk}$ or $\omega_{ij}$. Generating $\mathbb{Z}$-submodules by several decomposable forms and computing ranks of their generic elements we can reconstruct all $\mathbb{Z}\omega_{ij}$ and $\mathbb{Z}\omega_{ijk}$ up to an automorphism of $C_{13}$. Taking kernels of suitable linear combinations of decomposable forms we reconstruct all $x_i$ up to a sign of each and an automorphism of $C_{12}$. At last we use the unique linear dependence between $x_i$ to reconstruct them up to multiplication of all of them simultaneously by $\pm 1$ and an automorphism of $C_{13}$, in other words up to applying some element of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. It follows that $\pi(u) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ for any $u \in Aut(G_2)$. On the other hand, it is fairly easy to construct for each $\sigma \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ some $u \in Aut(G_2)$ such that $\sigma = \pi(u)$. Hence $\pi Aut(G_2) = \tilde{\Gamma}$. □

Let $G$ be either $G^{++}$ or $G^{+-}$. Let us choose some arbitrary isomorphism $\zeta : G/\gamma_3 G \to G_2$. From $\zeta$ with the help of natural projections $G/\gamma_4 G \to G/\gamma_3 G$ and

$G_2 \to H$ we construct an homomorphism $\xi : G/\gamma_4 G \to H$. Let $\bar{w}_1^{(\alpha)}, \dots, \bar{w}_7^{(\alpha)}$ be arbitrary pre-images with respect to $\xi$ of $x_1, \dots, x_7$, and let $\bar{w}_1^{(\beta)}, \dots, \bar{w}_7^{(\beta)}$ be arbitrary pre-images of $x_1, x_2, x_8, \dots, x_{12}$. We set $\bar{w}_0^{(\alpha)} = (\bar{w}_1^{(\alpha)})^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot (\bar{w}_7^{(\alpha)})^{-1}$ and $\bar{w}_0^{(\beta)} = (\bar{w}_1^{(\beta)})^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot (\bar{w}_7^{(\beta)})^{-1}$. Let $\bar{G}^{(\alpha)}$ be a subgroup of $G/\gamma_4 G$, generated by $\bar{w}_1^{(\alpha)}, \dots, \bar{w}_7^{(\alpha)}$ and let $\bar{G}^{(\beta)}$ be a subgroup of $G/\gamma_4 G$, generated by $\bar{w}_1^{(\beta)}, \dots, \bar{w}_7^{(\beta)}$. We say that $G$ is of class 0 if there is an isomorphism $\mu : \bar{G}^{(\alpha)} \to \bar{G}^{(\beta)}$ such that $\mu \bar{w}_i^{(\alpha)} \in \bar{w}_i^{(\beta)} \cdot [\bar{G}^{(\beta)}, \bar{G}^{(\beta)}]$ for any $i = 1, \dots, 7$, and that $G$ is of class 1 if there is no such isomorphism.

**Lemma 4.3.** *The class of $G$ is correctly defined, $G^{++}$ is of class 0 and $G^{+-}$ is of class 1.*

**Proof:** By Lemma 4.2 any automorphism of $G_2$ acts on $H$ by an element of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. It follows that for any $u \in Aut(G_2)$ we can change $\bar{w}_i^{(\alpha)}$ and $\bar{w}_i^{(\beta)}$ in such a way that they will correspond to $u\zeta$ instead of $\zeta$, but the groups $\bar{G}^{(\alpha)}$ and $\bar{G}^{(\beta)}$ will remain the same (they can only change places). Moreover, the condition of compatibility of $\mu$ with the new chosen generators of these groups will be equivalent to that for original generators, hence the class will remain the same. This follows from the fact that any $\sigma \in \Gamma$ preserves the partition $\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{2} \{l_i\} \cup \bigcup_{i=3}^{7} \{l_i, l_{i+5}\}$.

Therefore we may assume that the homomorphism $\xi : G/\gamma_4 G \to H$ is canonical. Clearly, the groups $\bar{G}^{(\alpha)}$ and $\bar{G}^{(\beta)}$ may be described as $G(\mathcal{R}^{(\alpha)})/\gamma_4 G(\mathcal{R}^{(\alpha)})$ and $G(\mathcal{R}^{(\beta)})/\gamma_4 G(\mathcal{R}^{(\beta)})$ for the configuration $C_8$, where the sets of relators $\mathcal{R}^{(\alpha)}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{(\beta)}$ satisfy the condition from section 2, i. g. they coincide with $\{\bar{r}(i,p)\}$ modulo $\gamma_3 F$.

Let $\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_{12}$ be Arvola's generators of $G$ corresponding to small loops around lines, and let $\tilde{G}^{(\alpha)} = G/\langle \tilde{w}_8, \dots, \tilde{w}_{12} \rangle$, $\tilde{G}^{(\beta)} = G/\langle \tilde{w}_3, \dots, \tilde{w}_7 \rangle$. Then $\tilde{G}^{(\alpha)} \cong \pi_1(X^+)$, while $\tilde{G}^{(\beta)} \cong \pi_1(X^+)$ for $G = G^{++}$ and $\tilde{G}^{(\beta)} \cong \pi_1(X^-)$ for $G = G^{+-}$. Let us consider the natural projections $\nu^{(\alpha)} : G \to \tilde{G}^{(\alpha)}$ and $\nu^{(\beta)} : G \to \tilde{G}^{(\beta)}$. They induce projections $\bar{\nu}^{(\alpha)} : G/\gamma_4 G \to \tilde{G}^{(\alpha)}/\gamma_4 \tilde{G}^{(\alpha)}$ and $\bar{\nu}^{(\beta)} : G/\gamma_4 G \to \tilde{G}^{(\beta)}/\gamma_4 \tilde{G}^{(\beta)}$. Restricting them to $\bar{G}^{(\alpha)}$ and $\bar{G}^{(\beta)}$ correspondingly we obtain isomorphisms $\bar{G}^{(\alpha)} \cong \tilde{G}^{(\alpha)}/\gamma_4 \tilde{G}^{(\alpha)}$ and $\bar{G}^{(\beta)} \cong \tilde{G}^{(\beta)}/\gamma_4 \tilde{G}^{(\beta)}$. All the constructed homomorphisms are canonical modulo commutant, hence from Theorem 3.1 it follows that an isomorphism $\mu : \bar{G}^{(\alpha)} \to \bar{G}^{(\beta)}$ mapping any $\bar{w}_i^{(\alpha)}$ to $\bar{w}_i^{(\beta)}$ modulo $\gamma_2 \bar{G}^{(\beta)}$ exists for $G = G^{++}$ and doesn't exist for $G = G^{+-}$. ☐

Since in the computation of the class of $G$ only $G/\gamma_4 G$ was used, and all the arbitrary choices do not affect the result which is different for $G^{++}$ and $G^{+-}$, we conclude that $G^{++}/\gamma_4 G^{++}$ is not isomorphic to $G^{+-}/\gamma_4 G^{+-}$. ☐

## References

[1] W. Arvola, *The fundamental group of the complement of an arrangement of complex hyperplanes*, Topology, **31** (1992), 757–765.

[2] T. Kohno, *On the holonomy Lie algebra and the nilpotent completion of the fundamental group of the complement of hypersurfaces*, Nagoya J. Math. **92** (1983), 21–37.

[3] S. MacLane, *Some interpretations of abstract linear independence in terms of projective geometry,* Amer. J. Math., **58** (1936), 236–241.

[4] W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory*, Interscience, New York etc., 1966.

[5] P. Orlik and L. Solomon, *Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes*, Invent. Math. **56** (1980), 167–189.

[6] P. Orlik and H. Terao, *Arrangements of hyperplanes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc., 1992.

[7] D. J. A. Welsh, *Matroid theory*, Academic Press, London etc., 1976.
[8] G. M. Ziegler, *Matroid representations and free arrangements*, Trans. Amer. Math. Society **320** (1990), 525–541.

Independent University of Moscow, Department of Mathematics, 11 B. Vlas'evskij, Moscow 121002, Russia
*E-mail address*: `gr@ium.ips.ras.ru`